Document number: P1122R0 Date: 20180607 (post-Rapperswil)

Project: Programming Language C++, WG21, LEWG, LWG

Authors: Paul McKenney, Michael Wong, Maged M. Michael, Geoffrey Romer, Andrew Hunter, Arthur O'Dwyer, David S. Hollman, JF Bastien, Hans Boehm, David Goldblatt, Frank Birbacher Email: paulmck@linux.vnet.ibm.com, michael@codeplay.com, maged.michael@acm.org, gromer@google.com, ahh@google.com, arthur.j.odwyer@gmail.com, dshollm@sandia.gov,

ifbastien@apple.com, hboehm@google.com, davidtgoldblatt@gmail.com,

frank.birbacher@gmail.com

Reply to: paulmck@linux.vnet.ibm.com

Proposed Wording for Concurrent Data Structures: Read-Copy-Update (RCU)

1 Introduction	2
2 History/Changes from Previous Release	2
2018-06-07 [D1122R0] Rapperswil meeting	2
2018-03-12 [P0566R5] pre-Rapperswil meeting	2
2017-11-08 [P0566R4] pre-JAX meeting	3
2017-10-15 [P0566R3] pre-ABQ Meeting	4
2017-07-30 [P0566R2] Post-Toronto	4
2017-06-18 [P0566R1] Pre-Toronto	4
3 Guidance to Editor	5
4 Proposed wording	5
5. Acknowledgements	11
6. References	12

1 Introduction

This paper is a successor to the RCU portion of P0566R5, in response to LEWG's Rapperswil 2018 request that the two techniques be split into separate papers.

This is proposed wording for Read-Copy-Update [P0461], which is a technique for safe deferred resource reclamation for optimistic concurrency, useful for lock-free data structures. Both RCU and hazard pointers have been progressing steadily through SG1 based on years of implementation by the authors, and are in wide use in MongoDB (for Hazard Pointers), Facebook, and Linux OS (RCU).

We originally decided to do both papers' wording together to illustrate their close relationship, and similar design structure, while hopefully making it easier for the reader to review together for this first presentation. As noted above, they have been split on request.

This wording is based P0566r5, which in turn was based on that of on n4618 draft [N4618]

2 History/Changes from Previous Release

2018-06-07 [D1122R0] Rapperswil meeting

- Extracted the RCU portion of P0566R5 per request by LEWG.
- Add the explicit keyword to the defer_lock_t constructor of rcu_reader per request by LEWG.
- Add the destructor description per request by LEWG.
- Andrej Krzemienski of LEWG: Have reset to pre-destruct an rcu_reader? Defer to TS experience because we don't know this use case will actually appear.
- Anthony Williams via email: Allow the deleter to be specified at construction time in addition to at retire time. Defer to TS experience.
- Remove swap declaration, thus relying on default definition per request by LEWG.
- Moved Preamble to D0940R2.

2018-03-12 [P0566R5] pre-Rapperswil meeting

- Updated RCU ordering guarantees for readers and deleters.
- Remove noexcept from rcu_reader destructor.
- Drop the detailed description of the rcu_reader destructor.
- Word the retire member function based on the rcu_retire free function.
- Word the synchronize rcu free function based on rcu retire.

- Rename synchronize_rcu to rcu_synchronize, as requested by LEWG in JAX.
- Confirmed that rcu_synchronize has SC fence semantics, and added a section to the RCU litmus-tests paper (<u>P0868R1</u>)
- Added feature test macros __cpp_hazard_pointers and __cpp_read_copy_update.
- Added wording constraining deleters.
- Hazard pointer changes:
 - Changed the introduction and the wording for hazptr_cleanup(), hazptr_obj_base retire(), hazptr_holder try_protect() to consider the lifetime of each hazard pointer as a series of epochs to facilitate specifying memory ordering (based on JAX evening session).
 - Changed the name of hazptr_holder get_protected() to protect(), as instructed by LEWG.
 - Changed the default constructor for hazptr_holder to return an empty hazptr holder, as instructed by LEWG.
 - Removed the hazptr_holder member function make_empty(), by implication of changing the default constructor.
 - Added the free function make_hazptr(), which constructs a non-empty hazptr_holder, to replace the functionality of the hazptr_holder constructor.
 - Changed the name of hazptr_holder reset() to reset_protected() for clarity.

2017-11-08 [P0566R4] pre-JAX meeting

- Full RCU wording review was done at this meeting. A repeat HP wording done at this
 meeting for any small design deltas, although HP was approved to move to LEWG in
 Toronto
- Three related bugzillas tracking this:
 - 382 C++ Concurre parallel@lists.isocpp.org CONF --- <u>Proposed Wording for Concurrent Data Structures: Hazard Pointer and Read-Copy-Update (RCU)</u> Tue 23:01
 - o <u>291</u> C++ Library fraggamuffin@gmail.com SG_R --- <u>Hazard Pointers</u> 2017-07-06
 - 376 C++ Concurre maged.michael@acm.org SG_R --- <u>Hazard Pointers</u> Mon 22:58
- Rewrote the RCU preamble to give a better introduction to RCU's concepts and use cases, including adding example code.
- Updated the ordering guarantees to be more like the C++ memory model and less like the Linux kernel memory model. (There is still some refining that needs to be done, and this is waiting on an RCU litmus-test paper by Paul E. McKenney, now available as P0868R1.)
- Removed the lock and unlock member functions from the rcu_reader class. These
 member functions are not needed because rcu_reader directly provides the needed
 RAII functionality.
- Numerous additional wording changes were made, none of which represent a change to the design, implementation, or API.

- Added some authors.
- Hazard pointer wording changes:
 - Added hazptr_cleanup() free function, a stronger replacement for hazptr_barrier(). There was no consensus in Albuquerque on the requirements for a such a function. The decision on whether to provide one and its semantics was left to the authors.
 - Significant rewrite of the wording for hazptr_obj_base::retire() to address the issues with memory ordering raised in Toronto.
 - Rewrite of the wording for hazptr holder::try protect() for clarity.
 - o Other minor editorial changes and corrections.

2017-10-15 [P0566R3] pre-ABQ Meeting

- Changed the syntax for the polymorphic allocator passed to the constructor of hazptr domain. The constructor is no longer constexpr.
- Added the free function hazptr_barrier() that guarantees the completion of reclamation of all objects retired to a domain.
- Changed the syntax of constructing empty hazptr_holder-s.
- Changed the syntax of the hazptr_holder member function that indicated whether a hazptr_holder is empty or not.
- Added a note that an empty hazptr_holder is different from a hazptr_holder that owns a hazard pointer with null value.
- Added a note to clarify that it acceptable for hazptr_holder try_protect to return true when its first argument has null value.
- Update RCU presentation to reduce member-function repetition.
- Fix RCU s/Void/void/ typo
- Remove RCU's std::nullptr_t in favor of the new-age std::defer_lock_t.
- Remove RCU's barrier() member function in favor of free function based on BSI comment

2017-07-30 [P0566R2] Post-Toronto

- Allow hazptr_holder to be empty. Add a move constructor, empty constructor, move assignment operator, and a bool operator to check for empty state.
- A call by an empty hazptr_holder to any of the following is undefined behavior: reset(), try_protect() and get_protected().
- Destruction of an hazptr_holder object may be invoked by a thread other than the one that constructed it.
- Add overload of hazptr_obj_base retire().

2017-06-18 [P0566R1] Pre-Toronto

Addressed comments from Kona meeting

- Removed Clause numbering 31 to leave it to the committee to decide where to inject this wording
- Renamed hazptr_owner hazptr_holder.
- Combined hazptr_holder member functions set() and clear() into reset().
- Replaced the member function template parameter A for hazptr_holder try_protect() and get_protected with atomic<T*>.
- Moved the template parameter T from the class hazptr_holder to its member functions try_protect(), get_protected(), and reset().
- Added a non-template overload of hazptr_holder::reset() with an optional nullptr_t parameter.
- Removed the template parameter T from the free function swap(), as hazptr_holder is no longer a template.
- Almost complete rewrite of the hazard pointer wording.

3 Guidance to Editor

RCU is a proposed addition to the C++ standard library, for the concurrency TS. It has been approved for addition through multiple SG1/SG14 sessions.

As RCU (and Hazard Pointers) are related to a concurrent shared pointer, we are looking at building a new clause 33 for Concurrency Utilities Library through P0940 [P0940]. In P0940, we plan to introduce subclause on Safe Reclamation which will support RCU, Hazard Pointer, as well as a other similar features.

We will not make any assumption for now as to the placement of this wording and leave it to SG1/LEWG/LWG to decide and have used? as a Clause placeholder. We believe that Hazard Pointers and RCU should appear in the same section.

4 Proposed wording

?.1 Read-Copy Update (RCU) [rcu]

- RCU is a synchronization mechanism that can be used for linked data structures that are
 frequently read, but seldom updated. RCU does not provide mutual exclusion, but
 instead allows the user to defer specified actions to a later time at which there are no
 longer any RCU read-side critical sections that were executing at the time the deferral
 started. Threads executing within an RCU read-side critical section are called readers.
- 2. RCU read-side critical sections are designated using an RAII class std::rcu_reader.
- 3. In one common use case (example shown below), RCU linked-structure updates are divided into two segments.

[Note— The following example shows how RCU allows updates to be carried out in the presence of concurrent readers. The reader function executes in one thread and the update function in another. The rcu_reader instance in print_name protects the referenced object name from being deleted by rcu_retire until the reader has completed.

```
std::atomic<std::string *> name;

// called often and in parallel!
void print_name() {
   std::rcu_reader rr;
   std::string *s = name.load(std::memory_order_acquire);
   /* ...use *s... */
}

// called rarely
void update_name(std::string *new_name) {
   std::string *s = name.exchange(new_name, std::memory_order_acq_rel);
   std::rcu_retire(s);
}
```

-end note]

The first segment can be safely executed while RCU readers are concurrently traversing the same part of the linked structure, for example, removing some objects from a linked list. The second segment cannot be safely executed while RCU readers are accessing the removed objects; for example, the second segment typically deletes the objects removed by the first segment. RCU can also be used to prevent RCU readers from observing transient atomic values, also known as the A-B-A problem.

4. A class T can inherit from std::rcu_obj_base<T> to inherit the retire member function and the intrusive machinery required to make it work. Alternatively, any class T can be passed to the std::rcu_retire free function template, whether it inherits from

- std::rcu_obj_base<T> or not. The free function is expected to have performance and memory-footprint advantages, but unlike the member function can potentially allocate. Both types of retire functions arrange to invoke the deleter at a later time, when it can guarantee that no *read-side critical section* is still accessing (or can later access) the deleted data.
- 5. A std::rcu_synchronize free function blocks until all preexisting or concurrent read-side critical sections have ended. This function may be used as an alternative to the retire functions, in which case the rcu_synchronize follows the first (removal) segment of the update and precedes the second (deletion) segment of the update.
- 6. A std::rcu_barrier free function blocks until all previous (happens before [intro.multithreading]) calls to std::rcu_retire have invoked and completed their deleters. This is helpful, for instance, in cases where deleters have observable effects, or when it is desirable to bound undeleted resources, or when clean shutdown is desired.

Header <rcu> synopsis

```
namespace std {
namespace experimental {
// ?.2, class template rcu_obj_base
template<typename T, typename D = default_delete<T>>
    class rcu_obj_base;
// ?.2.2, class rcu_reader: RCU reader as RAII
class rcu_reader;
// ?.2.3 function rcu_synchronize
void rcu_synchronize() noexcept;
// ?.2.4 function rcu barrier
void rcu_barrier() noexcept;
// ?.2.5 function template rcu_retire
template<typename T, typename D = default_delete<T>>
void rcu_retire(T* p, D d = {});
} // namespace experimental
} // namespace std
```

?.2.1, class template rcu_obj_base [rcu.base]

Objects of type T to be protected by RCU inherit from rcu_obj_base<T>. Note that rcu_obj_base<T> has no non-default constructors or destructors.

```
template<typename T, typename D = default_delete<T>>
    class rcu_obj_base {
public:
    // ?.2.1.1, rcu.base.retire: Retire a removed object and pass the
    // responsibility for reclaiming it to the RCU library.
    void retire(
        D d = {});
};
```

1. A client-supplied template argument D shall be a function object type ([function.objects]) for which, given a value d of type D and a value ptr of type T*, the expression d(ptr) is valid and has the effect of disposing of the pointer as appropriate for that deleter.

?.2.1.1, rcu obj base retire [rcu.base.retire]

```
void retire(
   D d = {}) noexcept;
```

- 1. Effects: Equivalent to rcu retire(this, d).
- 2. Throws: Nothing. [*Note:* This implies it may not allocate memory via operator new. end note]

?.2.2, class rcu reader [rcu.reader]

This class provides RAII RCU readers.

```
// ?.2.2, class template rcu_readers
class rcu_reader {
public:
    // ?.2.2.1, rcu_reader: RAII RCU readers
    rcu_reader() noexcept;
    explicit rcu_reader(std::defer_lock_t) noexcept;
    rcu_reader(const rcu_reader&) = delete;
    rcu_reader(rcu_reader&& other) noexcept;
    rcu_reader& operator=(const rcu_reader&) = delete;
    rcu_reader& operator=(rcu_reader&& other) noexcept;
    rcu_reader();
};
```

?.2.2.1, class template rcu_reader constructors [rcu.reader.cons]

```
rcu_reader() noexcept;
```

- 1. Effects: Creates an active rcu_reader that is associated with a new RCU read-side critical section.
- Postconditions: For each retire-function (std::rcu_obj_base::retire or std::rcu_retire) invocation such that this constructor does not happen before (C++Std [intro.races]) that retire-function invocation, prevents the corresponding deleter from being invoked.

```
explicit rcu_reader(std::defer_lock_t) noexcept;
```

1. Effects: Creates an inactive rcu_reader.

```
rcu_reader(rcu_reader&& other) noexcept;
```

1. Effects: Creates an active rcu_reader that is associated with the RCU read-side critical section that was associated with other. If this was already associated with an RCU read-side critical section, that critical section ends as described in the destructor. The rcu_reader other becomes inactive.

~rcu reader();

1. Effects: If the rcu reader is active, ends the associated RCU read-side critical section.

?.2.2.2, class template rcu_reader assignment [rcu.reader.assign]

```
rcu_reader& operator=(rcu_reader&& other) noexcept;
```

1. Effects: If this is active, the corresponding RCU read-side critical section ends as described in the destructor. In either case, this become active and holds the RCU read-side critical section corresponding to other, and other becomes inactive.

?.2.3, function rcu_synchronize [rcu.synchronize]

void rcu_synchronize() noexcept;

```
1. Effects: Equivalent to:
    std::atomic<bool> b;
    rcu_retire(&b, [](std::atomic<bool> *b) {
        b->store(true);
    });
    while (!b->load());
```

2. Throws: nothing.

?.2.4, function rcu_barrier [rcu.barrier]

```
void rcu_barrier() noexcept;
```

- 1. Effects: For each invocation of a retire function (std::rcu_obj_base::retire or std::rcu_retire) that happens before this call, blocks until the corresponding deleter has completed. May additionally wait for the completion of the corresponding deleter of any retire function call that does not happen after this call.
- 2. Synchronization: The completion of each such deleter <u>strongly happens before</u> the return from rcu_barrier.

?.2.5, function template rcu_retire [rcu.retire]

```
template<typename T, typename D = default_delete<T>>
void rcu_retire(T* p, D d = {});
```

- 1. Requires: D shall satisfy the requirements of MoveConstructible and such construction shall not exit via an exception. The expression d(p) shall be well-formed, shall have well-defined behavior, and shall not throw exceptions. The object referenced by p must not be passed to any other invocation of a retire function.
- Effects: Causes d(p) to be invoked later at an unspecified point on unspecified execution agents. Guarantees that for each instance R of rcu_reader, one of two things hold:
 - rcu_retire strongly happens before R's constructor
 - R's destructor <u>strongly happens before</u> the invocation of the deleter.

 [Note: If R's constructor happens before <u>rcu_retire</u>, then R's destructor strongly happens before the deleter. If the deleter happens before R's destructor, then <u>rcu_retire</u> strongly happens before R's constructor. --- end note]
- 3. Progress: If R_s is the set of rcu_reader instances where the invocation of rcu_retire does not happen before the construction of those readers, once the destructors of all instances in R_s have completed, the deleter is invoked on an unspecified execution agent within a finite amount of time.

5. Acknowledgements

The authors thank Olivier Giroux, Pablo Halpern, Lee Howes, Xiao Shi, Viktor Vafeiadis, Dave Watson and other members of SG1 and LEWG for useful discussions and suggestions that helped improve this paper and its earlier versions.

6. References

RCU implementation: https://github.com/paulmckrcu/RCUCPPbindings (See Test/paulmck)

[N4618] http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2016/n4618.pdf

[P0233] Hazard Pointers: Safe Resource Reclamation for Optimistic Concurrency http://wq21.link/P0233

[P0461] Proposed RCU C++ API http://wq21.link/P0461

[P0566] Proposed Wording for Concurrent Data Structures: Hazard Pointer and Read-Copy-Update (RCU) http://wg21.link/P0566

[P0940] Concurrency TS is growing: Utilities and Data Structures http://wg21.link/P0940