# Try-catch blocks in constexpr functions

Document #: P1002R1 Date: 2018-11-08

Project: Programming Language C++

Audience: Core Working Group

Reply-to: Louis Dionne <ldionne@apple.com>

## 1 Revision history

• R0 – Initial draft

- R1
  - Handle function try-blocks for non-constructors.
  - Rebase on top of current WD ([N4778]).

## 2 Proposal

Try-catch blocks can't currently appear in constexpr functions:

```
constexpr int f(int x) {
  try { return x + 1; } // ERROR: can't appear in constexpr function
  catch (...) { return 0; }
}
```

This paper proposes allowing this usage, but without changing the fact that a throw statement can't appear in a constant expression. This way, compilation errors are still triggered by throwing in a constexpr function, and hence a catch block is simply never entered. In other words, try blocks are allowed in constexpr functions, but they behave like no-ops when the function is evaluated as a constant expression.

This proposal does not close the door to implementing error-handling in **constexpr** functions in the future if we so desire.

This proposal does not break any code, since **constexpr** functions that contain try-catch blocks are currently ill-formed.

#### 3 Motivation

The underlying motivation is reflection and metaprogramming, just like [P0784R1]. Concretely, this limitation was encountered whilst surveying std::vector in libc++ with the purpose of making it constexpr-enabled. Indeed, vector::insert uses a try-catch block to provide the strong exception guarantee.

## 4 Proposed wording

This wording is based on the working draft [N4778].

#### Change in [dcl.constexpr] 9.1.5/3:

The definition of a constexpr function shall satisfy the following requirements:

- its return type shall be a literal type;
- each of its parameter types shall be a literal type;
- its function-body shall be = delete, = default, or a compound-statement that does not contain
  - an asm-definition,
  - a goto statement,
  - an identifier label (8.1), or
  - a try-block, or
  - a definition of a variable of non-literal type or of static or thread storage duration or for which no initialization is performed.

[Note: A function-body that is = delete or = default contains none of the above. - end note]

#### Change in [dcl.constexpr] 9.1.5/4:

The definition of a constexpr constructor shall satisfy the following requirements:

- the class shall not have any virtual base classes;
- each of the parameter types shall be a literal type.
- its function-body shall not be a function-try-block.

### 5 References

[N4778] Richard Smith, Working Draft, Standard for Programming Language C++ http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2018/n4778.pdf [P0784R1] Multiple authors, Standard containers and constexpr http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2018/p0784r1.html