Doc No: P0339r2 **Date:** 2017-02-04

Audience: LEWG

Authors: Pablo Halpern, Intel Corp. phalpern@halpernwightsoftware.com>

Dietmar Kühl <dkuhl@bloomberg.net>

polymorphic_allocator<> as a vocabulary type

Contents

1	Abs	tract	1		
2		inges			
	2.1	Changes since R1			
	2.2	Changes since R0			
3	Mot	ivation			
4					
5					
6					
7 Formal Wording					
	7.1	Document Conventions			
	7.2	Undo changes to uses-allocator construction	7		
	7.3	Remove erased type from the TS			
	7.4	Changes to std::experimental::function	7		
	7.5	Changes to type-erased allocator			
	7.6	Definition of polymorphic_allocator<>			
	7.7	Changes to class template promise			
	7.8	Changes to class template packaged task			
8	Refe	erences	13		

1 Abstract

The pmr::memory_resource type, recently added to the C++17 working draft, provides a way to control the memory allocation for an object without affecting its compile-time type – all that is needed is for the object's constructor to accept a pointer to pmr::memory_resource. The pmr::polymorphic_allocator<T> adaptor class allows memory resources to be used in all places where allocators are used in the standard: uses-allocator construction, scoped allocators, type-erased allocators, etc.. For many classes, however, the T parameter does not make sense.

In this paper, we propose an explicit specialization of pmr::polymrophic_allocator for use as a vocabulary type. This type meets the requirements of an allocator in the standard, but is easier to use in contexts where it is not necessary or desirable to fix the allocator type at compile time. The use of pmr::polymorphic_allocator<> also simplifies the definition of uses-allocator construction in the TS and situations where allocator type-erasure would otherwise be used, including in std::function.

This proposal is targeted for the next release of the Library Fundamentals technical specification.

2 Changes

2.1 Changes since R1

Minor changes, mostly taking into related proposals that have been accepted since R0.

2.2 Changes since R0

The original version of this proposal was to use polymorphic_allocator<void> as a vocabulary type, instead of polymorphic_allocator<>. LEWG discussion in Oulu uncovered two related problems with the original proposal:

- 1. void is not a valid value_type for an allocator, so polymorphic_allocator<void> does not meet the allocator requirements.
- 2. Even if void were valid, its use here might conflict with the proposal to make void a regular type, <u>P0146</u>.

To correct these problems, we made the following changes:

- Instead of polymorphic_allocator<void>, use polymorphic_allocator<>, which is a shorthand for polymorphic_allocator<char>.
- Instead of hijacking allocate and deallocate for byte allocation, add new member functions, allocate_bytes and deallocate_bytes. This change also removed the need for creating an explicit specialization of polymorphic_allocator, as the allocate bytes function can usefully be a member of all instantiations.

In addition, this proposal folds in the changes from <u>P0335</u>, which was applied to the C++17 WP in June, but was not applied to the LFTS.

3 Motivation

Consider the following class that works like vector<int>, but with a fixed maximum size determined at construction:

Suppose we want to add the ability to choose an allocator. One way would be to make the allocator type be a compile-time parameter:

```
template <class Alloc = std::allocator<int>> class IntVec ...
```

But that has changed our simple class into a class template, and introduced all of the complexities of writing classes with allocators, including the use of allocator_traits. The constructor for this class template looks like this:

```
IntVec(std::size_t capacity, Alloc alloc = {} )
   : m_size(0), m_capacity(capacity), m_alloc(alloc)
   , m_data(std::allocator traits<Alloc>::allocate(m_alloc, capacity)) { }
```

Our next attempt removes the templatization by using pmr::memory_resource to choose the allocation mechanism at run time instead of at compile time, thus avoiding the complexities of templates and ensuring that all IntVec objects are of the same type:

This solution works very well in isolation, but suffers from a number of drawbacks:

1. Does not conform to the Allocator concept

The pointer type, std::pmr::memory_resource*, does not meet the requirements of an allocator, and so does not fit into the facilities within the standard designed for allocators, such as *uses-allocator construction* (section 20.9.7.2 in the standard working draft, N4582).

The original proposal for memory_resource, N3916, included modifications to the definition of *uses-allocator* construction in order to address this deficiency. Those changes were not added to the C++17 working draft with the rest of the Fundamentals TS version 1

2. Lack of reasonable value-initialization

The result of default-initialization of a pointer is indeterminate, and the result of value initialization is a null pointer, neither of which is a useful value for storing in the class. The programmer must explicitly call std::pmr::get_default_resource(), as shown above. It is easily forgotten and is verbose.

3. Danger of null pointers

Any time you pass a pointer to a function, you must contend with the possibility of a null pointer. Either you forbid it (ideally with a precondition check or assert), or you handle it some special way (i.e., by substituting some default). Either way, there is a chance of error.

4. Inadvertent reseating of the memory resource

Idiomatically, neither move assignment nor copy assignment of an object using an allocator or memory resource should move or copy the allocator or memory resource. With rare exceptions, the memory resource used to construct an object should be the one used for its entire lifetime. Changing the resource can result in a mismatch between lifetime of the resource and the lifetime of the object that uses it. Also, assigning to an element of a container would result in breaking the homogenous use of a single allocator for all elements of that container, which is crucial to safely and efficiently applying algorithms like sort that swap elements within the container. Raw pointers encourage blind moving or copying of member variables during assignment, which can be dangerous.

Issues 2, 3, and 4 were addressed by another paper, <u>P0148</u>, which proposed a new type that provided a default constructor, and which was not assignable, memory_resource_ptr. That proposal, however, was withdrawn in Jacksonville when we (the authors of that paper as well as the current one) discovered that there was a simpler and more complete solution possible without introducing a completely new type: by using polymorphic_allocator. That discovery was the genesis of this paper.

4 Proposal Overview

We observed that a polymorphic_allocator object, which is basically a wrapper around a memory_resource pointer, can be used just about anywhere that a raw memory_resource pointer can be used, but does not suffer from the drawbacks listed above. Consider a minor rewrite of the IntVec class (above):

Let's consider the deficiencies of using a raw memory_resource pointer, one by one, to see how this new approach compares to the previous one:

- 1. The definition of the allocator_type nested type and the constructor taking a trailing allocator argument allows IntVec to play in the world of uses-allocator construction, including being passed an allocator when inserted into a container that uses a scoped_allocator_adaptor.
- 2. Value-initializing the allocator causes the default memory resource to be used, simplifying the default allocator argument and reducing the chance of error. If IntVec had a default constructor, the allocator would, again, use the default memory resource, with no effort on the part of the programmer.
- 3. A polymorphic_allocator is not a pointer and cannot be null. Attempting to construct a polymorphic_allocator with a null pointer violates the preconditions of the polymorphic_allocator constructor. This contract can be enforced by a single contract assertion in the polymorphic_allocator constructor, rather than in every client.
- 4. P0335, which was accepted in Oulu for C++17, deleted the assignment operators for polymorphic_allocator. Thus, the problem of accidentally reseating the allocator no longer exists for polymorphic_allocator. The deleted assignment operators would prevent the incorrect assignment operations from being generated automatically, forcing the programmer to define them, hopefully with the correct semantics. See P0335 for more details.

The above list shows that <code>polymorphic_allocator</code> can be used idiomatically to good effect, but suffers from some usability issues. To begin, <code>polymorphic_allocator</code> is a template, when what is desired is a non-template vocabulary type. Also, in order to allocate objects of different types, it is necessary to rebind the allocator, a step backwards from direct use of <code>memory_resource</code>, which does not require rebinding. This paper proposes a default parameter for <code>polymorphic_allocator</code> so that <code>polymorphic_allocator</code> can be used as a ubiquitous type. It also adds certain features to conveniently expose the capabilities of the underlying <code>memory_resource</code> pointer.

In addition to normal allocator functions, the polymorphic_allocator<> proposed here provides the following features:

- Being completely specialized, polymorphic_allocator<> does not behave like a template, but like a class. This fact can prevent inadvertent template bloat in client types.
- It can allocate objects of any type without needing to use rebind. Allocating types other than value_type is common for node-based and other non-vector-like containers.
- It can allocate objects on any desired alignment boundary. For example, VecInt might choose to align its data array on a SIMD data boundary.
- It provides member functions to allocate and construct objects in one step.

• It provides a good alternative to type erasure for types that don't have an allocator template argument. Note that an important part of this proposal is to simplify std::function to avoid the problematic two-dimensional type erasure that has caused problems in the C++11 and C++14 standards. (The C++17 CD removes allocators from std::function, making it easier to add them back more simply in the future.)

In addition to the definition of polymorphic_allocator<> itself, we propose the following significant simplifications to the memory section of the Library Fundamentals TS:

- Remove changes to the definition of *uses-allocator construction* and the uses allocator trait. (Section 2 of the TS is completely removed.)
- Rewrite the **Type-erased allocator** section in terms of polymorphic_allocator<> instead of memory resource*. Eliminate the erased type struct.
- Eliminate type-erased allocators from the function class template, replacing it with polymorphic_allocator<>. (Note that the type-erased allocator was not implemented by any major standard-library supplier.)
- Update promise and packaged task to use the new type-erased allocator idiom.

5 Alternatives Considered

<u>P0148</u> proposed a new type, memory_resource_ptr, which provided many of the benefits described for polymorphic_allocator<>. The memory_resource_ptr type did not, however, conform to *allocator requirements* and did less to smooth the integration of memory_resource into the allocator ecosystem than does polymorphic_allocator<>. P0148 was withdrawn in favor of this proposal.

It has been suggested that we create a new class instead of using polymorphic_allocator<>. However, such a type would need to behave like a polymorphic_allocator in every way, so the only benefit we saw was, perhaps, a shorter name. We'll leave it up to the user to create their own shortened aliases, as desired.

Instead of using char as the default template parameter for polymorphic_allocator<T>, we could have used a unique tag type. This might have been a useful direction if we had created an explicit specialization for polymorphic_allocator<tag_type>, but experiments showed that it only complicated the standard language and implementation, with no significant benefit over the current proposal.

6 Future directions

We should consider using polymorphic_allocator in the interface to std::experimental::any.

7 Formal Wording

7.1 Document Conventions

All section names and numbers are relative to the **November 2016 draft of the Library Fundamentals TS**, <u>N4617</u>. Note that major sections of the TS have been moved into the C++17 WD. Section numbers are, therefore, subject to significant change in the future.

Existing working paper text is indented and shown in dark blue. Edits to the working paper are shown with red strikeouts for deleted text and green underlining for inserted text within the indented blue original text.

Comments and rationale mixed in with the proposed wording appears as shaded text.

Requests for LWG opinions and guidance appear with light (yellow) shading. It is expected that changes resulting from such guidance will be minor and will not delay acceptance of this proposal in the same meeting at which it is presented.

7.2 Undo changes to uses-allocator construction

Remove section 2 from the TS, which would have made changes to sections 20.7.7.1, [allocator.uses.trait] and 20.7.7.2 [allocator.uses.construction] of the standard.

7.3 Remove erased type from the TS

Remove section 3.1 [utility] from the TS, which defines struct erased_type. The changes to type-erased allocators, below, make this struct no longer necessary.

7.4 Changes to std::experimental::function

In section 4.1 [header.functional.synop] of the TS, remove the specialization of uses allocator from the end of the <functional> synopsis:

```
template<class R, class... ArgTypes, class Alloc>
struct uses allocator<experimental::function<R(ArgTypes...)>, Alloc>;
```

In section 4.2 [func.wrap.func] of the TS, modify allocator_type and all of the constructors that take an allocator in std::experimental::function:

```
template<class R, class... ArgTypes>
class function<R(ArgTypes...)> {
  public:
    using result_type = R;
    using argument_type = T1;
    using first_argument_type = T1;
    using second_argument_type = T2;

using allocator_type = erased_typepmr::polymorphic_allocator<>;
  function() noexcept;
  function(nullptr_t) noexcept;
  function(const function&);
  function(function&&);
```

```
template<class F> function(F);
        template<class A> function(allocator_arg_t,
                                   const Aallocator type&) noexcept;
         <del>emplate<class A></del>function(allocator_arg_t, -
                                  const Aallocator type&, nullptr t) noexcept;
       template < class A> function (allocator arg t,
                                  const Aallocator type&, const function&);
       template < class → function (allocator arg t,
                               const Aallocator type&, function&&);
       template < class F, class A> function (allocator arg t,
                                            const A allocator type&, F);
replace get memory resource() with get allocator():
       allocator type get allocator() const noexcept;
and remove the definition of uses allocator:
   template<class R, class... ArgTypes, class Alloc>
       true type { };
```

In sections 4.2.1 [func.wrap.func.con] and 4.2.2 [func.wrap.func.mod], eliminate all references to type erasure and memory resources:

4.2.1 function construct/copy/destroy [func.wrap.func.con]

When a function constructor that takes a first argument of type allocator_arg_t is invoked, the second argument is treated as a type erased allocator (8.3) shall be a polymorphic allocator (C++17 §20.12.3 [memory.polymorphic.allocator.class] or LFTS §8.6 [memory.polymorphic.allocator.class]). A copy of the allocator argument is used to allocate memory, if necessary, for the internal data structures of the constructed function object, otherwise pmr::polymorphic_allocator<>{} is used. If the constructor moves or makes a copy of a function object (C++14 §20.9), including an instance of the experimental::function class template, then that move or copy is performed by using-allocator construction with allocator get memory resource() get allocator().

In the following descriptions, let ALLOCATOR_OF(f) be the allocator specified in the construction of function f, or allocator <char> () if no allocator was specified.

```
function& operator=(const function& f);
    Effects: function(allocator_arg, ALLOCATOR_OF(*this) get allocator(),
        f) .swap(*this);

Returns: *this.

function& operator=(function&& f);

Effects: function(allocator_arg, ALLOCATOR_OF(*this) get allocator(),
        std::move(f)).swap(*this);

Returns: *this.

function& operator=(nullptr_t) noexcept;

Effects: If *this != nullptr, destroys the target of this.
```

```
Postconditions: ! (*this). The memory resource allocator returned by
        get memory resource() get allocator() after the assignment is equivalent to the memory
        resource allocator before the assignment. [ Note: the address returned by
        get_memory_get allocator().resource() might change — end note]
     Returns: *this.
 template < class F > function & operator = (F & & f);
     Effects function(allocator arg, ALLOCATOR OF(*this) get allocator(),
        std::forward<F>(f)).swap(*this);
     Returns: *this.
     Remarks: This assignment operator shall not participate in overload resolution unless
        declval<decay t<F>&>() is Callable (C++14 \$20.9.11.2) for argument types ArgTypes...
        and return type R.
 template<class F> function& operator=(reference_wrapper<F> f);
     Effects: function(allocator arg, ALLOCATOR OF(*this) get allocator(),
        f).swap(*this);
     Returns: *this.
 4.2.2 function modifiers [func.wrap.func.mod]
 void swap(function& other);
     Requires: *this->get memory resource() == *other.get memory resource()
        this->get allocator() == other.get allocator().
     Effects: Interchanges the targets of *this and other.
     Remarks: The allocators of *this and other are not interchanged.
Add a new section describing the get allocator() function:
 allocator type get allocator() const noexcept;
    Returns: A copy of the allocator specified at construction, if any; otherwise a copy of
       allocator type{} evaluated at the time of construction of this object.
```

7.5 Changes to type-erased allocator

Make the following changes to section 8.3 Type-erased allocator [memory.type.erased.allocator]:

8.3 Type-erased allocator [memory.type.erased.allocator]

A type-erased allocator is an allocator or memory resource, alloc, used to allocate internal data structures for an object X of type C, but where C is not dependent on the type of alloc. Once alloc has been supplied to X (typically as a constructor argument), a copy of alloc can be retrieved from X only as a pointer rptr of static type std::experimental::pmr::memory resource* (8.5) via an object named (for exposition)

pmr_alloc of type pmr::polymorphic_allocator<> (C++17 §20.12.3
[memory.polymorphic.allocator.class] or LFTS §8.6 [memory.polymorphic.allocator.class]). The process by which rptrpmr_alloc is computed initialized from alloc depends on the type of alloc as described in Table 13:

Table 13 — Initialization	of type-erased	allocator
---------------------------	----------------	-----------

If the type of alloc is	then the value of rptr is
non-existent — no alloc specified	The value of experimental::pmr::get_default_resource() at the time of construction pmr_alloc is value initialized.
nullptr_t	The value of experimental::pmr::get_default_resource() at the time of construction pmr_alloc is value initialized.
a pointer type convertible to pmr::memory_resource*	<pre>static_cast<experimental::pmr::memory_resource *="">(alloc)pmr_alloc is initialized with alloc</experimental::pmr::memory_resource></pre>
pmr::polymorphic_allocator <u></u>	pmr_alloc is initialized with alloc.resource()
any other type meeting the Allocator requirements (C++14 §17.6.3.5) requirements for the Allocator parameter to pmr::resource_adaptor [memory.resource.adaptor.overview]	<pre>pmr_alloc is initialized with a pointer to a value of type experimental::pmr::resource_adaptor<a> where A is the type of alloc. remains valid only for the lifetime of X.</pre>
None of the above	The program is ill-formed.

Additionally, class C shall meet the following requirements:

```
— C::allocator_type shall be identical to a specialization of
std::experimental::erased_typepmr::polymorphic allocator.
```

— X.get_memory_resource()
X.get_allocator() returns rptrpmr_alloc.

7.6 Definition of polymorphic_allocator<>

In section 8.6.1 [memory.polymorphic.allocator.overview], modify the general definition of polymorphic_allocator<Tp> as follows. Note that this diverges from the C++17 CD but remains compatible with it:

```
template <class Tp = char>
class polymorphic_allocator {
   memory_resource* m_resource; // For exposition only
public:
   using value_type = Tp;
   polymorphic_allocator() noexcept;
   polymorphic allocator(memory resource* r);
```

```
polymorphic_allocator(const polymorphic_allocator& other) = default;

template <class U>
    polymorphic_allocator(const polymorphic_allocator<U>& other) noexcept;

polymorphic_allocator&
    operator=(const polymorphic_allocator& rhs) = default_delete;
```

This is a drive-by fix. <u>P0335</u> has been applied to the C++17 WP, but should also have been applied to the LFTS.

```
Tp* allocate(size t n);
   void deallocate(Tp* p, size t n);
   void* allocate bytes(size t nbytes, size t alignment = alignof(max align t));
   void deallocate bytes(void* p, size t nbytes,
                          size t alignment = alignof(max align t));
   template <class T>
     T* allocate object(size t n = 1);
   template <class T>
    void deallocate object(T* p, size t n = 1);
   template <class T, class... Args>
     T* new object(Args&&... args);
   template <class T>
     void delete object(T* p);
   template <class T, class... Args>
     void construct(T* p, Args&&... args);
   // Specializations for pair using piecewise construction
   template <class T1, class T2, class... Args1, class... Args2>
     void construct(pair<T1,T2>* p, piecewise construct t,
                    tuple<Args1...> x, tuple<Args2...> y);
   template <class T1, class T2>
     void construct(pair<T1,T2>* p);
   template <class T1, class T2, class U, class V>
     void construct(pair<T1,T2>* p, U&& x, V&& y);
   template <class T1, class T2, class U, class V>
     void construct(pair<T1,T2>* p, const std::pair<U, V>& pr);
   template <class T1, class T2, class U, class V>
     void construct(pair<T1,T2>* p, pair<U, V>&& pr);
   template <class T>
     void destroy(T* p);
   // Return a default-constructed allocator (no allocator propagation)
   polymorphic allocator select on container copy construction() const;
   memory resource* resource() const;
 };
Add descriptions for the new member functions in section 8.6.3
[memory.polymorphic.allocator.mem] (underline highlighting omitted for ease of reading):
```

```
Returns: m resource->allocate(nbytes, alignment).
void deallocate bytes (void* p, size t nbytes,
                        size t alignment= alignof(max align t));
   Effects: Equivalent to m resource->deallocate (p, nbytes, alignment).
   Throws: Nothing.
template <class T>
  T* allocate object(size t n = 1);
   Effects: Allocates memory suitable for holding an array of n objects of type T.
   Returns: static cast<T*>(allocate bytes(n*sizeof(T), alignof(T))).
template <class T>
  void deallocate object(T* p, size_t n = 1);
   Effects: Equivalent to deallocate bytes (p, n*sizeof(T), alignof(T)).
template <class T, class CtorArgs...>
  T* new object(CtorArgs&&... ctor args);
   Effects: Allocates and constructs an object of type \mathbb{T} as if by
    void* p = allocate object<T>();
     try {
         new (p) T(std::forward<CtorArgs>(ctor args)...);
    } catch (...) {
         m resource->deallocate(p, sizeof(T), alignof(T));
   Returns: The address of the newly constructed object (i.e., p).
template <class T>
  void delete object(T* p);
   Effects: Equivalent to p \rightarrow T(); deallocate (p, sizeof(T), alignof(T)).
```

7.7 Changes to class template promise

Make the following changes to the class definition of promise in section 11.2 [futures.promise] of the TS, consistent with the change in type-erased allocators:

```
template <class R>
class promise {
public:
    using allocator_type = erased_typepolymorphic_allocator<>;
    ...
    pmr::memory_resource* get_memory_resource();
    pmr::polymorphic_allocator<> get_allocator() const noexecpt;
};
```

7.8 Changes to class template packaged task

Make the following changes to the class definition of packaged_task in section 11.3 [futures.task], consistent with the change in type-erased allocators:

```
template <class R, class... ArgTypes>
class packaged_task<R(ArgTypes...)> {
public:
    using allocator_type = erased_typepolymorphic_allocator<>;
    ...
    pmr::memory_resource* get_memory_resource();
    pmr::polymorphic_allocator<> get_allocator() const noexecpt;
};
```

8 References

<u>N4617</u> *Draft Technical Specification, C++ Extensions for Library Fundamentals, Version 2,* Geoffrey Romer, editor, 2016-11-28.

N3916 Polymorphic Memory Resources - r2, Pablo Halpern, 2014-02-14.

<u>P0148</u> memory_resource_ptr: A Limited Smart Pointer for memory_resource Correctness, Pablo Halpern and Dietmar Kühl, 2015-10-14.

P0335 Delete operator= for polymorphic allocator, Pablo Halpern, 2016-05.