P0209r1 | make_from_tuple: apply for construction

Pablo Halpern phalpern@halpernwightsoftware.com

2016-05-29 | Intended audience: LWG

1 Abstract

This paper proposes a function template that applies a **tuple** of arguments to an object constructor similar to the way **apply** works with non-constructor functions.

The template described in this paper is should be tied to the apply function, which is currently targeted for C++17. Therefore, this feature should also be targeted for C++17.

2 Changes from R0

- Removed uninitialized_construct_from_tuple as per LEWG review.
- Added constexpr
- Added an example.
- Re-based to the March 2016 C++17 working draft

3 Proposal

3.1 Motivation

N3915 introduced the apply function template into the Library Fundamentals TS. This template takes an invocable argument and a tuple argument and unpacks the tuple elements into an argument list for the specified invocable. While extremely useful for invoking a function, apply is not well suited for constructing objects from a list of arguments stored in a tuple. Doing so would require wrapping the object construction in a lambda or other function and passing that function to apply, a process that, done generically, is more complicated than the implementation of apply itself.

3.2 Summary

This proposal introduces a function template, make_from_tuple, to fill the void left by apply. The signature for make_from_tuple is:

```
template <class T, class Tuple>
    constexpr T make_from_tuple(Tuple&& t);`
```

It simply explodes it's tuple argument into separate arguments, which it passes to the constructor for type T, returning the newly-constructed object. Because of mandatory copy-elision in C++17, the return value is effectively constructed in place for the client.

3.3 Example

make_from_tuple can be used to implement the piecewise constructor for std::pair as follows:

4 Scope

Pure-library extension

5 Alternatives considered

There has been discussion of making tuple functionality more tightly integrated into the core language in such a way that these functions would not be needed. More recently, a proposed direct_initialize facility would allow apply to work with constructors. Until such a time as such a proposal is accepted, however, these functions are simple enough, useful enough, and self-contained enough to consider for C++17 and would continue to be meaningful and convenient even if direct_initialize is accepted.

The names are, of course, up for discussion. A name that contains "apply" might be preferred, but I could think of no reasonable name that met that criterion. LEWG considered several names and stuck with make_from_tuple.

6 Implementation experience

The facility in this proposal have been fully implemented and tested. An open-source implementation under the Boost license is available at: https://github.com/phalpern/uses-allocator

7 Formal wording

The following changes are relative to the March 2016 C++17 working draft. N4582.

In section 20.4.1 ([tuple.general]), add the following declarations to the <tuple> header (within the std namespace), immediately after the declaration of apply:

```
template <class T, class Tuple>
    constexpr T make_from_tuple(Tuple&& t);
```

In section 20.4.2.5 ([tuple.apply]), immediately after the description of apply, add the description for make_from_tuple:

```
template <class T, class Tuple>
    constexpr T make_from_tuple(Tuple&& t);`
```

Returns: Given the exposition-only function

```
template <class T, class Tuple, size_t... I>
constexpr T make_from_tuple_impl(Tuple&& t, index_sequence<I...>) { // exposition only
    return T(get<I>(forward<Tuple>(t))...);
}
```

Equivalent to

Note: The type of \mathtt{T} must be supplied as an explicit template parameter, as it cannot be deduced from the argument list.