#### Doc No: SC22/WG21/N1605

J16/04-0045

Date: 13-Feb-2004

Project: JTC1.22.32

Reply to: Daniel Gutson danielgutson@hotmail.com

# EXTENDING TEMPLATE TYPE PARAMETERS I Namespace and scope

# 1. The problem

There is no way of passing a scope –as a type definitions container- as a template parameter. Namespaces cannot be specified as template parameters.

Templates cannot accept *general* 'scopes' as parameters; only classes and structures are accepted for this purpose. There is no way of specifying a general scope-type as template parameter type, for applying the '::' operator –regardless it is a structure, class or namespace.

This paper proposes both the ability to pass namespaces as template parameters, and the addition of the 'scope' notion to the 'type, non-type, and template' set (**temp.arg**).

- Why is the problem important?

- a) <u>Specifying different type-definitions sources:</u> namespaces are usually a source of type definitions. However, namespaces are not allowed as template parameters.
- b) <u>Differentiation between types, non-types, and scopes:</u> a scope is qualitatively different to a type and a non-type concept. Scopes cannot be instantiated, but used as identifiers-definitions repository (i.e., they can be the left operand of the '::' operator). The importance of this difference involves two facts:
  - a. Forces the template implementation to use the scope template type parameter as a scope, preventing instantiation or being used as a type or non-type template parameter.
  - b. Acts as a self-documentation feature, providing the information to the template client about the template parameter nature.

- How are people addressing, or working around the problem today?

Structures are commonly used as definition sources. The concept of a structure/class is misused in that case [*Example* 

```
struct InfoRep1
{
     enum { value = 1 };
     typedef char Type;
};
```

```
struct InfoRep2
{
    enum { value = 2 };
    typedef int Type;
};
template <class Rep> class C
{
    Rep::Type t;
    int f() { return Rep::value; }
};
C<InfoRep1> cl; C<InfoRep2> c2;
```

*-end example*] since empty structures (in terms of allocable members) must be defined, while namespaces are more suitable candidates for containing definitions.

- This feature fits in the following subset of categories mentioned in the proposal template:

- improve support for system programming: allows the usage of namespaces for the purpose they are intended to be. Additionally, allows no to be forced to use structures for containing definitions.
- <u>improve support for library building</u>:
  - i. <u>Abstraction</u>: by using the scope concept, allows library builders to be abstracted whether the scope is a structure, namespace, or class.
  - ii. <u>Misuse prevention</u>: prevents a scope template parameter to be used as an instantiable type.

# 2. The proposal

Add the concept of 'scope' to the template parameter possibilities. Use the 'namespace' keyword for declaring a scope parameter, as extension to the template type-parameter clause.

# 2.1. Basic Cases

```
//rewriting the previous example:
namespace InfoRep1
{
    enum { value = 1 };
    typedef char Type;
}
```

```
namespace InfoRep2
{
    enum { value = 2 };
    typedef int Type;
}
template <namespace Rep> class C
{
    Rep::Type t;
    int f() { return Rep::value; }
    Rep r; //error: Rep is a scope
};
C<InfoRep1> cl; C<InfoRep2> c2;
```

### 2.2. Advanced Cases

Indistinctive usage of classes, structures and namespaces as scopes:

```
//rewriting the previous example again:
     namespace InfoRep1
     {
           enum { value = 1 };
           typedef char Type;
     }
     struct InfoRep2
     {
           enum { value = 2 };
           typedef int Type;
           int i;
           char c[20];
     }
     template <namespace Rep> class C
     {
           Rep::Type t;
           int f(){
                     return Rep::value;
                                              }
          Rep r; //error: Rep is a scope
           size_t g(){ return sizeof(Rep);
                                              }
                                                    //error
     };
     template <namespace S1, namespace S1::S2, class
S1::S2::T> S1::S2::T function(S1::S2::T t);
     C<InfoRep1> c1; C<InfoRep2> c2;
```

Despite InfoRep2 is a structure, it cannot be instantiated while it is used as a scope. Scopes can only be used as a left operand of the '::' operator.

# 3. Interactions and implementability

3.1. Interactions:

- a) Both namespaces, classes and structures may be passed as scope template parameters.
- b) Scope template parameters shall not be treated as types within the template definition.
- c) Scope template parameters will not be able to be re-opened within the template definition; scope template parameters are treated as closed-entities [*Example*]

```
template <namespace Rep> C
{
    namespace Rep { typedef char yy; } //error
}
```

-end example]

- d) Scopes and types shall be able to be specified as template parameters belonging to a previous scope parameter (as shown in the 'function' example above)
- e) Scope template parameters can also have default scopes –classes, namespaces, structures or another scope parameter of an outer template definition [*Example*]

```
template <namespace S1> struct Outer
{
    template <namespace S2 = S1> struct Inner
    {
        S2::Type t;
    };
};
-end example]
```

3.2 Implementability

- Considering that a namespace is an open entity, only contained definitions present in the current compilation unit namespace will be able to be specified. [Worst case] The following syntactical situations are behaviorally equivalent: Situation A: using a scope template parameter

compilation unit **U1** contains:

1) a namespace N:

Namespace N contains a symbol definition S (i.e. a structure), with definition D1.

- 2) a template definition T accepting a *scope* template parmeter P: T accesses a member of P named S.
- 3) a global instance 'I' of T instantiated with N::S.

compilation unit U2 contains:

1) a namespace N: (same name as U1)

Namespace N contains a symbol definition S, with definition D2 (D1  $\neq$  D2);

2) the template definition T (same U1's definition).

3) an import of the U1's 'I' global instance;

4) a function F that uses 'I'

Situation B: using a type template parameter

compilation unit **U1** contains:

- 1) a symbol definition S (i.e. a structure), with definition D1.
- 2) a template definition T accepting a *type* template parmeter P: T accesses P.

3) a global instance 'I' of T instantiated with S.

compilation unit U2 contains:

- 1) a symbol definition S, with definition D2 (D1  $\neq$  D2);
- 2) the template definition T (same U1's definition).
- 3) an import of the U1's 'I' global instance;
- 4) a function F that uses 'I'

Observe that Situation B does not contain scope template parameters and may be generated with current C++ syntax. (situation A is same as situation B plus the grayed texts, specific to this paper definitions).

Also observe that F uses S with definition D2, while 'I' was instantiated with S defined as D1.

- This paper leaves an open syntax issue regarding how to specify the global namespace both for template parameter specification and default template parameter value. The :: ' ' syntax is suggested (scope operator followed by two consecutive single quotes):

template <namespace NS = ::''> class X; template <namespace NS> class Y{}; Y<::''> y;

4. Future work

This paper provides the basis for 'template namespaces', which needs a rigorous analysis and the experience that may emerge from this proposal.