Const correctness in unordered associative containers

Doc no: N1549= 03-0132

Date: 10/29/03

Reply-to: Matt Austern <u>austern@apple.com</u>

Robert Klarer's message c++std-lib-12095 raises four const-correctness issues in unordered associative containers (section 6.2 of the TR). Three of them are real bugs. This paper provides the fixes.

- 1. In section 6.4.2 [tr.unord.unord], remove the const qualification in the parameters of the nonmember swap functions for all four unordered associative containers, both in the header synopses and in the text.
- 2. In section 6.4.2 [tr.unord.unord], in the class declarations of all four unordered associative containers, declare the bucket and bucket_size member functions as const.
- 3. In section 6.2.1 [tr.unord.req], in table 6.1, all occurrences of "for const a" in the "Return Type" column of the requirements table should actually read "for const b." Also, under the "assertion/note/pre/postcondition" column, the phrase "out of which a was constructed." should be "out of which b was constructed" for b.hash_function() and b.key_eq(). Similarly, "a.end()" should be "b.end" for b.find(k), and "std::make_pair(a.end(), a.end())" should be "std::make_pair(b.end(), b.end())" for b.equal_range(k).