

Doc No: X3J16/97-0102 WG21/N1140
Date: November 12th, 1997
Project: Programming Language C++
Ref Doc:
Reply to: Josee Lajoie
(josee@vnet.ibm.com)

```
+=====+  
| Core 1 WG -- Morristown Resolutions |  
+=====+
```

Issue 850: How does name look up proceed in the parameter list of a
===== friend function?

Add after 3.4.1 (basic.lookup.unqual) para 9:

```
+ Except for the names used in  
+ .I template-arguments  
+ of a  
+ .I template-id ,  
+ name look up for a name used in the function declarator for a  
+ .CW friend  
+ function that is a class member function  
+ is first looked up in the scope of the member function's class, and if not  
+ found, the look up follows the look up for unqualified names in the  
+ definition of the class granting friendship.  
+ .Cb  
+ struct A {  
+     typedef int AT;  
+     void f1(AT);  
+     void f2(float);  
+ };  
+ struct B {  
+     typedef float BT;  
+     friend void A::f1(AT); //\f2\& parameter type is \&\fPA::AT  
+     friend void A::f2(BT); //\f2\& parameter type is \&\fPB::BT  
+ };  
+ .Ce  
+ In the declaration of a  
+ .CW friend  
+ function that is a class member function,  
+ the look up for a name used in the function declarator in a  
+ .I template-argument  
+ of a  
+ .I template-id  
+ follows the look up for unqualified names used in the definition of the  
+ class granting friendship.
```

.....
Issue 893: Lookup of conversion functions conversion-type-id and of
===== template argument names is missing when these appear in
qualified-ids

Change 3.4.3.1 (class.qual) para 1 as follows:

```
If the  
.I nested-name-specifier  
of a  
.I qualified-id  
nominates a class, the name specified after the  
.I nested-name-specifier  
is looked up in the scope of the class (_class.member.lookup_),  
! except for the cases listed below.  
The name shall represent  
one or more members of that class or of one of its base classes
```

```

    (clause _class.derived_).
    .N[
    a class member can be referred to using a
    .I qualified-id
    at any point in its potential scope (_basic.scope.class_).
    .N] e
+ The exceptional cases are the following:
+ .LI
+ a destructor name is looked up as specified in _basic.lookup.qual_;
+ .LI
+ the
+ .I conversion-type-id
+ of an
+ .I operator-function-id
+ is looked up both in the scope of the class and
+ in the context in which the entire
+ .I postfix-expression
+ occurs and shall refer to the same type in both contexts;
+ .LI
+ the
+ .I template-arguments
+ of a
+ .I template-id
+ are looked up in the context in which the entire
+ .I postfix-expression
+ occurs.

```

Change 3.4.3.2 (namespace.qual) para 1 as follows:

```

    If the
    .I nested-name-specifier
    of a
    .I qualified-id
    nominates a namespace,
    the name specified after the
    .I nested-name-specifier
! is looked up in the scope of the namespace, except that
+ .LI
+ the
+ .I conversion-type-id
+ of an
+ an
+ .I operator-function-id
+ is looked up both in the scope of the namespace and
+ in the context in which the entire
+ .I postfix-expression
+ occurs and shall refer to the same type in both contexts;
+ .LI
+ the
+ .I template-arguments
+ of a
+ .I template-id
+ are looked up in the context in which the entire
+ .I postfix-expression
+ occurs.

```

.....
Issue 916: conversion from pointer type to char* is not a static_cast
=====

Change 3.8 (basic.life) para 5, third bullet as follows:

```

    .LI
    the pointer is used as the operand of a

```

```

.CW static_cast
(_expr.static.cast_)
(except when the conversion is to
.CW void* ,
+ and subsequently to
.CW char* ,
or
.CW unsigned
.CW char ).

```

Change 3.8 (basic.life) para 6, third bullet as follows:

```

.LI
the lvalue is used as the operand of a
.CW static_cast
! (_expr.static.cast_) (except when the conversion is ultimately to
.CW char&
or
.CW unsigned
.CW char& ),
or

```

.....
Issue 892: ODR and string literals
=====

Add at the end of 7.1.2 (dcl.fct.spec) para 4

```

! A string literal in an
! .CW extern
! .CW inline
! function is the same object in different translation units.

```

.....
Issue 919: Can a using declaration refer to a template-id?
=====

Add after 7.3.3 (namespace.udecl) para 4:

```

+ .P
+ A
+ .I using-declaration
+ shall not name a
+ .I template-id .
+ .E[
+ .Cb
+ class A {
+ public:
+   template <class T> void f(T);
+   template <class T> struct X { };
+ };
+ class B : public A {
+ public:
+   using A::f<double>; //\f2\& ill-formed\&\fP
+   using A::X<int>;   //\f2\& ill-formed\&\fP
+ };
+ .Ce
+ .E]

```

.....
Issue 902: When is 'template<class T> S(T);' used to generate a copy
===== constructor?

Add to 12.8 (class.copy) para 3:

```
+ A member function template is never instantiated to perform the copy of an
+ class object to an object of its class type.
+ .E[
+ .Cb
+ struct S {
+     template<typename T> S(T);
+ };
+
+ S f();
+
+ void g() {
+     S a( f() ); //\f2\& does not instantiate member template\&\fP
+ }
+ .Ce
+ .E]
```