Doc. No.: X3J16/96-0028

WG21/ N0846

Date: January 29, 1996

Project: Programming Language C++

Reply To: Richard K. Wilhelm

Strategic Technology Resources

rwilhelm@str.com

# Clause 21 (Strings Library) Issues List Revision 12

## **Revision History**

Version 1 - January 30, 1995: Distributed in pre-Austin mailing.

Version 2 - March 6, 1995: Distributed at Austin meeting.

Version 3 - March 24, 1995: Distributed in post-Austin mailing. Several issues added. Several issues updated to reflect decisions at Austin meeting.

Version 4 - May 19, 1995: Distributed in pre-Monetery mailing.

Version 5 - July 9, 1995: Distributed at the Monterey meeting. Includes many issues added from public comments.

Version 6 - July 11, 1995: Distributed at the Monterey meeting. Added no new issues from previous version. Included issues prepared for formal vote. Added solutions for issues 8, 21,31, 38, 69, 71. Made only changes to reflect the decisions of the string sub-group, correct working

paper text and to correct typographical errors.

Version 7 - July 27, 1995: Distributed in the post-Monterey mailing. Reflects the resolutions and discussions of the Monterey meeting.

Version 8 - September 24, 1995: Distributed in the pre-Tokyo mailing. Some new issues added.

Version 9 - November 2, 1995: Distributed at the Tokyo meeting. Added issue 79. Added solutions for issues: 29. 30. 61. 62. and 63.

Version 10 - November 8, 1995: Distributed at the Tokyo meeting. Contains resolutions for issues to be closed by a vote.

 $\label{thm:condition} \begin{tabular}{ll} Version~11-December~2,~1995:~Distributed~in~the~post-Tokyo~mailing.~Updated~issues~closed~in~Tokyo.~Added~several~new~issues~\end{tabular}$ 

Version 12 - January 29: Distributed in the pre-Santa Cruz mailing.

### Introduction

This document is a summary of the issues identified in Clause 21. For each issue the status, a short description, and pointers to relevant reflector messages and papers are given. This evolving document will serve as a basis of discussion and historical record for Strings issues and as a foundation of proposals for resolving specific issues.

For clarity, active issues are seperated from issues recently closed. Closed issues are retained for one revision of the paper to serve as a record of recent resolutions. Subsequently, they will be removed from the paper for brevity. Any issue which has been removed will include the document number of the final paper in which it was included.

## **Active Issues**

Issue Number: 21-014

Title: Argument order for copy() is incorrect.

Section: 21.1.1.8.7 [lib.string::copy]

Status: active

Description:

In private email, John Dlugosz wrote:

"In copy() the arguments are in a different order than on other functions. I suppose this was to provide for a default on pos. However, if someone does specify both he will be likely to get them backwards and the compiler will not catch this. I feel it is a point of usability that is not worth the default argument.

```
Provide two forms of copy() instead:
```

```
copy (dest, pos, len);
copy (dest, len);
```

Note: The current interface to copy is:

```
size_type copy(charT* s, size_type n, size_type pos=0);
```

### Proposed Resolution:

Provide two forms of copy():

```
size_type copy(charT* s, size_type pos, size_type n);
```

This function differs from the current copy only in the order of its last two arguments and the lack of a default argument.

```
size_type copy(charT* s, size_type n);
```

**Returns:** 

```
copy(s, 0, n);
```

.Requester: John Dlugosz: jdlugosz@objectspace.com

Owner:

(none) Emails: Papers: (none)

Issue Number: 21-059

Title: String traits have no relationship to iostream traits.

Section: 21.1.1.1 [lib.string.char.traits]

Status: active

Description:

I would like to propose (whether officially or not) to modify the current CD:

```
template <class charT> struct ios_traits {};
to
   template <class charT> struct ios_traits :
      public string_char_traits<charT> {};
```

in order to integrate the closely related traits, 'ios\_traits' and 'string\_char\_traits'.

We can expect the integration of the common features, such as 'eq', 'eos', 'length', and 'copy' which is now inappropriately separated with no explicit reasons.

In lib-3832, Nathan Myers wrote:

"I have been careful to avoid getting too involved with Clause 21, thus far, because I have been quite busy with other chapters. However, it would be my recommendation to eliminate most of the string character traits: eq(), ne(), lt(), assign(), char\_in(), char\_out(), and is\_del(). Also, I would either add a few "speed-up functions" needed to efficiently implement strings without specialization, such as a move() member, or eliminate them all, and let the implementation specialize speedups for types known to it."

A public comment included the following:

"string\_char\_traits is missing three important speed-up functions, the generalizations of memchr, memmove, and memset. Nearly all the mutator functions in basic\_string can be expressed as calls to these three primitives, to good advantage."

See also issue 21-018.

Discussion at the Tokyo meeting found merit in the idea of integrating string\_char\_traits and ios\_char\_traits. However, no action was taken pending further investigation.

A cursory review of string and iostream character traits shows that the signatures are basically compatible except for the string\_char\_traits::eq() and ios char traits::eq char type().

### **Proposed Resolution:**

Some traits issues are addressed in issue 21-002, 21-018, 21-024, and 21-060. This issue remains open as a discussion of the possible integration of iostream traits and string character traits.

Requester: Norihiro Kumagai: kuma@slab.tnr.sharp.co.jp.

See also Public Comment T21 (p. 108).

Owner:

Emails: lib-3832, lib-4351 Papers: N0810R1=95-0210R1

#### Issue Number: 21-062

Title: Missing explanation of requirements on chart.

Section: 21.1.1.3 [lib.basic.string]

Status: active

Description:

### A public comment noted:

Paragraph 1 doesn't say enough about the properties of a "char-like object." It should say that it doesn't need to be constructed or destroyed (otherwise, the primitives in string char traits are woefully inadequate).

string\_char\_traits::assign (and copy) must suffice either to copy or initialize a char-like element. The definition should also say than an allocator must have the same definitions for the types size\_type, difference\_type, pointer, const\_pointer, reference, and const\_reference as class allocator::types<charT> (again because string char traits has no provision for funny address types).

#### **Proposed Resolution:**

## Add the following text after paragraph 1 in 21.1.1.3 [lib.basic.string]

A "char-like type" does not need to be constructed or destroyed. A string's allocator shall have the same definitions for the types size\_type, difference\_type, pointer, const\_pointer, reference, const\_reference as class allocator::types<charT>.

## In private email, P.J. Plauger wrote:

"In reviewing my code, I realize that I overstated the case here. It is more accurate to say that the basic\_string class presumes that charT has a default constructor (and a destructor), which the class uses to construct (and destroy) all elements of the controlled sequence. Whenever the class is asked to copy out elements, as with the copy member function, it assumes that it need only assign to previously constructed elements.

"A better design of string\_char\_traits would probably include uninitialized\_copy and uninitialized\_fill members, but I feel it's way too late to propose such additions."

Requester: Public comment T21 (p. 108).

Owner:

Emails: (none) Papers: (none)

Issue Number: 21-080

Title: Allow template specialization for basic string and string char traits?

Section: 21.1.1.3 [lib.template.string]

Status: active

Description:

Discussion of a general library issue in Tokyo arrived at the conclusion that template specialization would require the templates to be placed in the std namespace. Since there is currently a general prohibition on extending the std namespace [lib.reserved.names] "unless otherwise specified", basic\_string and string\_char\_traits must be explicitly exempted from this prohibition if they can be specified.

can be spe

**Proposed Resolution:** 

None yet.

Requester: LWG

Owner:

Emails: (none) Papers: (none)

Issue Number: 21-081

Title: Portions of Clause 21 are redundant with portions of Clause 23.

Section: 21.1.1.3 [lib.template.string]

Status: active

Description:

Since basic\_string is a Sequence (as defined in Clause 23) portions of the description for basic\_string are redundant. In particular, the parts that describe members which fulfill Sequence requirements.

In Tokyo, the issue of clarity and maintainability was raised. If portions of the basic\_string description are removed, the clause becomes easier to maintain because it can rely on Clause 23 for all Sequence requirements. However, this removal may impact the clarity of Clause 21.

Proposed Resolution:

None yet.

Requester: LWG

Owner:

Emails: (none) Papers: (none)

Issue Number: 21-082

Title: Typedef for reverse\_iterator is incorrect.

Section: 21.1.1.3 [lib.template.string]

Status: active

Description:

In 24.3.1.3 [lib.reverse.iterator], the class reverse\_iterator has the following template arguments:

The fifth template argument was added recently. The reverse\_iterator typedef in basic string does not reflect this change.

### **Proposed Resolution:**

Change the typedefs for for basic\\_string's reverse\_iterator and  $% \left( \frac{1}{2}\right) =\left( \frac{1}{2}\right) \left( \frac{1}{2}\right) \left$ 

const\_reverse\_iterator to:

typedef

Requester: Larry Podmolik (podmolik@str.com)

Owner:

Emails: (none)
Papers: (none)

#### Issue Number: 21-083

Title: Traits member eos() is not forced to return the same value every time.

Section: 21.1.1.2 [lib.string.char.traits.members]

Status: active

Description:

With the resolution of issue 21-067, the traits member eos() is not required to return the value char\_type(). However, this desirable freedom might be construed to allow an implementation to return a different value for eos() on subsequent calls.

#### Proposed Resolution:

Add the following text to the portion of 21.1.1.2 [lib.string.char.traits.members] which describes eos():

Subsequent calls to this member will return an equivalent object.

Requester: LWG

Owner:

Emails: (none) Papers: (none)

## Issue Number: 21-084

Title: Specialize swap() algorithm for basic\_string.

Section: 21.1.1.10.8 [lib.string.special]

Status: active

Description:

From Box 1 in Clause 23: "Change: Issue 23-031 in N0781R2=95-0181R2, approved in Tokyo, approved the addition of swap specializations for all containers except basic\_string. It only mentioned the problem in this class. In the interest of stability and correctness, it has been added and an issue opened to formalize the change."

#### **Proposed Resolution:**

No change. Remove the box from section 21.1.1.10.8 [lib.string.special]

Requester: LWG

Owner:

Emails: (none) Papers: (none)

Issue Number: 21-085

Title: Awkward argument order for basic\_string traits.

Section: 21.1.1.2 [lib.string.char.traits.members]

Status: active

Description:

Two string\_char\_traits members have the following signatures:

static const char\_type\*

find(const char\_type\* s, int n, const char\_type& a)

static char\_type\*

assign(char\_type\* s, size\_t n, const char\_type& a)

The semantics of these members emulate memchr() and memset(). However, the argument order is slightly different. In the interest of consistency, the order of

these arguments should be corrected.

Additionally, change the type of the find() member's 'n' argument to size\_t

**Proposed Resolution:** 

In section 21.1.1.2 [lib.string.char.traits.members] change the signatures of find ()

and assign() as follows:

static const char\_type\*
find(const char\_type\* s, const char\_type& a, size\_t n)

static char\_type\*

assign(char\_type\* s, const char\_type& a, size\_t n)

Requester: LWG

Owner:

Emails: (none)
Papers: (none)

Issue Number: 21-086

Title: New type added to table

Section: 21.2 [lib.c.strings]

Status: active

Description:

An editorial box has the content: "Change: added wchar\_t to the above table

because wcsmemchr uses it."

Proposed Resolution:

No change. The editors change is correct. Remove the editorial box.

Requester: LWG

Owner:

Emails: (none) Papers: (none)

Issue Number: 21-087

Title: Different return values for index operations

Section: 21.1.1.7 [lib.string.access]

Status: active

Description:

Although the following accessors are semantically equivalent, the return values

are different:

charT operator[](size\_type pos) const;

```
const_reference at(size_type pos) const;
```

### Proposed Resolution:

Change the return value of the at() member as follows:

charT at(size\_type pos) const;

Requester: LWG

Owner:

Emails: (none) Papers: (none)

#### Issue Number: 21-088

Title: Slight glitch in return value for find()

Section: 21.1.1.9.1 [lib.string::find]

Status: active

Description:

basic\_string::find(const charT\*, ...) Returns has a comma missing before pos

argument.

## Proposed Resolution:

Change the return value of:

size\_type find(const charT\* s, size\_type pos, size\_type n) const;
as follows:

Returns: find(basic\_string<charT,traits,Allocator>(s, n) pos).

Requester: P.J. Plauger.

Owner:

Emails: (none) Papers: (none)

#### Issue Number: 21-089

Title: Should basic\_string have a release() member.

Section: 21.1.1.6 [lib.string.capacity]

Status: active

Description:

basic\_string::find(const charT\*, ...) Returns has a comma missing before pos argument.

5. I have already suggested the following, but will suggest it again, as I consider it important. Class basic\_string has a reserve() function, but no release() function. It really needs a release() (or shrink\_to\_fit()) function. Partly this is just good design (pardon my arrogance) -- the reserve() function is used to indicated an anticipated increase in the size of the string, and the release() function is its opposite and is used to indicate that no more changes are anticipated and the excess reserved memory can be given back to the system. Partly, reserve() and release() can be used with a special allocator that deals with relocatable memory such as the original Macintosh or Windows -- reserve() would do a lock and release() could unlock (as well as shrink). I note two aspects about release(). The first is that it could interact somewhat poorly with c\_str().

I would consider this annoying, but something that could be lived with.

However, an alternative provides a solution to my desire for a release() function and this problem -- redefine the semantics of reserve() to allow it to function as a release() function also. Thusly -

```
after reserve(size_type n) ::=
  if (n < size()) then capacity is set to size()
  otherwise capacity() will equal n.</pre>
```

Frankly, this would be my preference. Thus the example above would become

```
void f(string s) {
    s.reserve(s.size()+1);
    cout << s.c_str() << endl;
}</pre>
```

with the assurance that the actual memory used is the minimum necessary. The reserve() function could be prototyped as

```
void reserve(size_type res_arg = 0)
```

where the default argument would allow the use of s.reserve() to be semantically equivalent to shrink-to-fit.

#### **Proposed Resolution:**

Changing the semantics of reserve() would both overconstrain implementations and break with existing practice. If this change is to be made, it should be done with a new member.

#### Add the member:

```
void release(size_type res_arg = 0)
as follows:
```

The member function release() is a directive that attempts to force an upper bound on a string object's storage.

Effects: if size() < res\_arg < capacity(), then reallocation happens and, subsequently capacity() == res\_arg. Otherwise, there is no effect. Reallocation invalidates all the references, pointers, and iterators referring to the elements in the sequence. It is guaranteed that no reallocation takes place during the insertions that happen after release() takes place until the time when the size of the string reaches the size specified by release().

Complexity: It does not change the size of the sequence and takes at most linear time in the size of the sequence.

Requester: Jack Reeves. (jack@fx.com)

Owner:

Emails: (none) Papers: (none)

### Issue Number: 21-090

Title: operator>> consuming whitespace

Section: 21.1.1.10.8 [lib.string.io]

Status: active

Description:

From a public comment:

"It seems to me that, to be useful, operator>>() must eat zero or more delimiters specified by basic\_string<...>::traits::is\_del() prior to reading each string. This should be specifed in the standard, to prevent varying implementations. If that is not the committee's intent, it should be explicitly stated in the standard what the intent is."

#### **Proposed Resolution:**

None yet.

Requester: John Mulhern (jmulhern@empros.com).

Owner:

Emails: (none)
Papers: (none)

## **Closed Issues**

Issues which have been recently closed are included in their entirety. Issues which have appeared in a previous version of the issues list as "closed" have the bulk of their content deleted for brevity. The document number of the paper in which they last appeared is included for reference.

Issue Number: 21-001

Title: Should basic\_string have a getline() function?

Last Doc.: N0721=95-0121

Issue Number: 21-002

Title: Are string\_traits members char\_in() and char\_out() necessary?

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-003

Title: Character-oriented assign function has incorrect signature

Last Doc.: N0721=95-0121

Issue Number: 21-004

Title: Character-oriented replace function has incorrect signature

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-005

Title: How come the string class does not have a prepend() function?

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-006

Title: Should the Allocator be the last template argument to basic\_string?

Last Doc.: N0721=95-0121

Issue Number: 21-007

Title: Should the string\_char\_traits speed-up functions be specified as inline?

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-008

Title: Should an iostream inserter and extractor be specified for basic\_string?

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-009

Title: Why are character parameters passed as "const charT"?

Last Doc.: N0721=95-0121

Issue Number: 21-010

Title: Should member parameters passed as "const\_pointer"?

Last Doc.: N0721=95-0121

Issue Number: 21-011

Title: Why are character parameters to the string traits functions passed by reference?

Last Doc.: N0721=95-0121

Issue Number: 21-012

Title: Why are character parameters to the string functions passed by value?

Last Doc.: N0800=95-0200

Issue Number: 21-013

Title: There is no provision for errors caused by implementation limits.

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-015

Title: The copy() member should be const.

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-016

Title: The error conditions are not well-specified for the find() and rfind() functions.

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-017

Title: Can reserve() cause construction of characters?

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-018

Title: Specification of traits class is constraining.

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-019

Title: The Allocator template parameter is not reflected in a member typedef.

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-020

Title: Header for Table 42 is incorrect.

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-021

Title: compare() has unexpected results

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-022

Title: s.append('c') appends 99 nulls.

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-023

Title: Non-conforming default Allocator arguments

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-024

Title: Name of traits delimiter function is confusing

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-025

Title: Does string\_char\_traits need a locale?

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-026

Title: Description of string\_char\_traits::compare() is expressed in code.

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-027

Title: Description of string\_char\_traits::compare() overspecifies return value.

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-028

Title: Description of string\_char\_traits::length() is expressed in code.

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-029

Title: Description of string\_char\_traits::copy() is overconstraining.

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-030

Title: Description of string\_char\_traits::copy() is silent on overlapping strings.

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-031

Title: Copy constructor takes extra argument to switch allocator but does not allow

allocator to remain the same.

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-032

Title: Description for operator+() is incorrect

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-033

Title: Requirements for const charT\* arguments not specified

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-034

Title: Inconsistency in requirements statements involving npos

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-034a

Title: Expand ability to throw length\_error

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-035

Title: Character replacement does not change length.

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-036

Title: Character case disregarded during common operations.

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-037

Title: Traits needs a move() for overlapping copies.

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-038

Title: Operator < clashes cause ambiguity

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-039

Title: Iterator parameters can get confused with size\_type parameters.

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-040

Title: Repetition parameter non-intuitive

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-041

Title: Assignment operator defined in terms of itself

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-042

Title: Character assignment defined in terms of non-existent constructor

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-043

Title: Character append operator defined in terms of non-existent constructor

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-044

Title: Character modifiers defined in terms of non-existent constructor

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-045

Title: Iterator typenames overspecified

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-046

Title: basic\_string type syntactically incorrect in some descriptions

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-047

Title: Error in description of replace() member

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-048

Title: Inconsistency in const-ness of compare() declarations

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-049

Title: Inconsistency constructor effects and semantics of data()

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-050

Title: Incorrect semantics for operator+()

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-051

Title: Incorrect return type for insert() member

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-052

Title: Unconstrained position arguments for find members.

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-053

Title: Semantics of size() prevents null characters in string

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-054

Title: Change the semantics of length()

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-055

Title: append(), assign() have incorrect requirements

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-056

Title: Requirements for insert() are too weak.

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-057

Title: replace has incorrect requirements

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-058

Title: Description of data() is over-constraining.

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-060

Title: string\_char\_traits::ne not needed

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-061

Title: Missing explanation of traits specialization

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-063

Title: No constraints on constructor parameter.

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-064

Title: Miscellaneous errors in resize(size\_type n)

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-065

Title: Incorrect return value for insert()

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-066

Title: Description of remove() is over-specific

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-067

Title: Traits specializations are over-constrained for eos() member

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-068

Title: What is the proper role of the "Notes" section in Clause 21.

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-069

Title: Swap complexity underspecified.

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-070

Title: operator>= described incorrectly

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-071

Title: Does getline() have the correct semantics?

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-072

Title: Incorrect use of size\_type in third table in section

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-073

Title: Add overloads to functions that take default character object.

Last Doc.: N0759=95-0159

Issue Number: 21-074

Title: Should basic\_string have a member semantically equivalent to strlen()

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-075

Title: Incomplete specification for assignment operator

Last Doc.: N0800=95-0200

Issue Number: 21-076

Title: Inconsistent pattern of arguments in basic string overloads

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-077

Title: basic\_string not identified as a Sequence.

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-078

Title: Possible problem with reference counting and strings.

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215

Issue Number: 21-079

Title: Possible problem with operator<<()

Last Doc.: N0815=95-0215