

Exception Safe Exceptions

Gregory Colvin
Information Management Research
gregor@netcom.com

The class *exception*

As presently specified the class *exception* may itself throw exceptions. If using an exception can throw an exception the result may be infinite loops, unbounded recursion, or worse. I propose to seal this hole by specifying an interface which will not throw exceptions.

Interface

```
class exception {
public:
    exception(const char*) throw();
    exception(const string&) throw(alloc);
    exception(const exception&) throw();
    virtual ~exception() throw();
    exception& operator=(const exception&) throw();
    virtual const char* what() throw();
};
```

Semantics

An *exception* is a container for a null-terminated-multi-byte-string (NTMBS). The member function *what()* returns a pointer to a NTMBS which compares equal (in the sense of *strcmp()*) to the NTMBS so contained. Note that only the constructor *exception(const string&) throw(alloc)* may throw an exception. A conforming implementation will not call *unexpected()*. The Library and Language will not use the constructor *exception(const string&)*.

Expression	Precondition	Postcondition
<i>exception x(p)</i>	<i>p</i> points to a NTMBS with storage duration exceeding that of <i>x</i> or any copy to be made of <i>x</i> .	<i>strcmp(x.what(),p) == 0</i>
<i>exception x(s)</i>	<i>s</i> is a <i>string</i> .	<i>strcmp(x.what(),s.c_str()) == 0</i>
<i>exception x(e)</i>	<i>e</i> is an <i>exception</i> .	<i>strcmp(x.what(),e.what()) == 0</i>
<i>x = e</i>	<i>x</i> and <i>e</i> are <i>exceptions</i> .	<i>strcmp(x.what(),e.what()) == 0</i>

Discussion

Some representatives object to the use of *char** in the *exception* interface, in part because it is easy to violate the precondition for *exception(const char*)*. There is however an easy way to meet the precondition: use a NTMBS with static storage duration, e.g. *exception("bad")*. Also, having *what()* return a *const char** is a change from the current *string* return value. Since the *string* class provides a *const char** constructor I believe no important functionality is lost. An alternative would be to specify a *string*-only interface which disallows exceptions, but this might unduly constrain implementations of the *string* class. Note also that since the Language and the Library do not use *exception(const string&)* no *string* code need be linked in, which meets the strong objections of some representatives to having the language depend on *string*.

The template *do_throw*

We recently removed from the Library a means of preventing exceptions from being thrown by the Library or the Language. The objection to this facility seemed not to be that it was unnecessary, but that the machinery was needlessly complex. I hope that the following interface is simple enough to prove acceptable.

Interface

```
void (*set_throw_handler(void (*)(const exception&))(const exception&);
void throw_handler(const exception&);
template<class X> void do_throw(const X &x) throw(X);
```

Semantics

The function

```
void (*set_throw_handler(void (*pf)(const exception&))(const exception&);
```

installs the function pointer *pf* as the current throw-handler and returns the previous throw-handler if any, or 0.

The function

```
void throw_handler(const exception& x);
```

passes a reference to the *exception* *x* to the current throw-handler, if any.

The function template

```
template<class X> void do_throw(const X &x) throw(X);
```

passes a reference to the *exception* *x* to the current throw-handler, if any, and then executes the expression *throw x*.

Library exceptions and the Language exceptions *bad_cast* and *bad_typeid* are thrown (as if) by *do_throw()*.

Discussion

Since *do_throw()* is used to throw Library exceptions, as well as the Language exceptions *bad_cast* and *bad_typeid*, users can prevent exceptions from being thrown by installing a throw-handler which does not return. In addition, users can specialize the *do_throw* template to provide custom handling of their own exception classes.

An alternative, but one which requires more language support, would be a single "magic" template function:

```
template<class X> void (*set_throw_handler(void (*)(const X&))(const X&);
```

The language would establish a throw-handler for every type of object thrown and call it, if set, as the first part of each throw expression. I believe this alternative was first suggested by Nathan Meyers.