



Efficiently producing default orthogonal IEEE double results using extended IEEE hardware

Roger Golliver

Floating-point Center of Expertise

roger.a.golliver@intel.com

Java's requirements

- Java requires SPARC's IEEE default behavior
 - no unmasked exceptions
 - no “Denormal” flag
 - no double rounding errors!
- Notes for code fragments following:
 - “`_de`” is 80-bit value on x87 stack or in memory
 - “`_d`” is double precision value in memory
 - “`_s`” is single precision value in memory
- Note: Java should support IEEE flags, and these algorithms do get the IEEE flags correct.

Java algorithm for add:

- with precision control set to 53-bits
- $x_{de} = x_d$ -- exact (fld x_d)
- $y_{de} = y_d$ -- exact (fld y_d)
- $x_{de} = x_{de} + y_{de}$ -- will denormalize correctly if tiny (fadd)
- $z_d = x_{de}$ -- will overflow correctly if huge (fstp z_d)

Java algorithm for sub:

- with precision control set to 53-bits
- $x_{de} = x_d$ -- exact (fld x_d)
- $y_{de} = y_d$ -- exact (fld y_d)
- $x_{de} = x_{de} - y_{de}$ -- will denormalize correctly if tiny (fsubr)
- $z_d = x_{de}$ -- will overflow correctly if huge (fstp z_d)

Java algorithm for multiply:

- with precision control set to 53-bits
- $x_{de} = x_d$ -- exact (fld x_d)
- $x_{de} *= 2.0^{(E_{max_d}-E_{max_de})}$ -- exact scale down(fmul const1_de)
- $y_{de} = y_d$ -- exact (fld y_d)
- $x_{de} = x_{de} * y_{de}$ -- will denormalize correctly if tiny (fmul)
- $x_{de} *= 2.0^{(E_{max_de}-E_{max_d})}$ -- exact scale up (fmul const2_de)
- $z_d = x_{de}$ -- will overflow correctly if huge (fstp z_d)

- $E_{max_de} = 0x7FFE - 0x3FFF(bias_{de}) = 0x3FFF$
- $E_{max_d} = 0x7FE - 0x3FF(bias_d) = 0x3FF$
- $E_{max_de} - E_{max_d} = 0x3FFF - 0x3FF = 0x3C00$

Java algorithm for divide:

- with precision control set to 53-bits
- $x_{de} = x_d$ -- exact (fld x_d)
- $x_{de} *= 2.0^{(E_{max_d}-E_{max_de})}$ -- exact scale down(fmul const1_de)
- $y_{de} = y_d$ -- exact (fld y_d)
- $x_{de} = x_{de} / y_{de}$ -- will denormalize correctly if tiny (fdivp)
- $x_{de} *= 2.0^{(E_{max_de}-E_{max_d})}$ -- exact scale up (fmul const2_de)
- $z_d = x_{de}$ -- will overflow correctly if huge (fstp z_d)

Java algorithm for remainder (%):

- with precision control set to 53-bits
- $x_{de} = x_d$ -- exact (fld x_d)
- $y_{de} = y_d$ -- exact (fld y_d)
- loop:
- $y_{de} = y_{de} \% x_{de}$ -- exact (fprem)
- $ax = \text{flt-pt_status_word}$ -- read status word (fstsw ax)
- if ($ax \& 0x0400$) goto loop -- remainder not completed
- $z_d = y_{de}$ -- exact (fstp z_d)
- $x_d = x_{de}$ -- exact/clean up stack (fstp x_d)

Java algorithm for remainder (IEEE):

- set precision control to 53-bits
- $x_{de} = x_d$ -- exact (fld x_d)
- $y_{de} = y_d$ -- exact (fld y_d)
- loop:
- $y_{de} = y_{de} \text{ REM } x_{de}$ -- exact (fprem1)
- $ax = \text{flt-pt_status_word}$ -- read status word (fstsw ax)
- if ($ax \& 0x0400$) goto loop -- remainder not completed
- $z_d = y_{de}$ -- exact (fstp z_d)
- $x_d = x_{de}$ -- exact/clean up stack (fstp x_d)

Java algorithm for sqrt:

- set precision control to 53-bits
- $x_{de} = x_d$ -- exact (fld x_d)
- $x_{de} = \text{sqrt}(x_{de})$ -- single rounding error (fsqrt)
- $z_d = x_{de}$ -- result can't be tiny or huge (fstp z_d)

Java algorithm for narrowing conversion:

- set precision control to 53-bits
- $x_{de} = x_d$ -- exact (fld x_d)
- $y_s = x_{de}$ -- single rounding error (fstp y_s)

Details of the general algorithm

- follows the IEEE definition closely
 - easily understandable
 - confidence in correctness, if x87 rounds correctly it does
- uses the x87 with all exceptions masked
- overhead of integer ops can be partially hid by the latency of the floating ops
- same method works for all IEEE operations that round
 - add, subtract, multiply, divide, remainder, square root, and conversions
- algorithm can be easily optimized for constrained environments, e.g. the Java algorithms above

The General Algorithm (double precision):

- Initialize the control and the status words
 - PC is set to 53-bits
 - RC is set to emulating RC
 - MASKs are all set
 - FLAGs are all cleared
- Convert the double operand(s) to double-extended
 - $x_{de} = x_d$ -- exact (fld qword ptr x_d)
 - $y_{de} = y_d$ -- exact (fld qword ptr y_d)
 - Note: Denormal flag may be set erroneously after these operations

The General Algorithm (first rounding):

- Calculate the double extended result
 - $z_{de} = x_{de} <fop> y_{de}$ -- round (fop)
 - Invalid, Divide-by-Zero, or Precision may be set by fop
 - This is equivalent to the IEEE's first rounding operation, i.e. rounding the infinitely precise result with the exponent unbounded.
- Select two constants c1 and c2,
 - using exponent of z_{de} classify result: (fstp tbyte ptr z_{de})
 - Zero, Infinity/NaN, Normal -- no extra work required
 - Tiny or Huge -- extra work required
 - and the state the control bits for
 - Overflow -- default or wrapped result
 - Underflow -- default or wrapped result

The General Algorithm (second rounding):

- recalculate the result
 - if(add,sub,mul, or div)
 $x_{de} *= c1$ -- exact
(fld tbyte ptr x_de)
 - if(add or sub)
 $y_{de} *= c1;$ -- exact
(fmul tbyte ptr c1)
 - $z_{de} = x_{de} <fop> y_{de}$ -- round and clamp exponent (fop)
 - if(add,sub,mul, or div)
 $z_{de} *= c2$ -- exact
(fmul tbyte ptr c2)
 - $z_d = z_{de}$ -- exact
(fstp qword ptr z_d)
- Overflow, Underflow, and/or Precision may be set by fop
- z_{de} is equivalent to the IEEE's second rounding operation
- z_d is the IEEE standard's result

The General Algorithm (cont.)

- read the flags, and adjust if necessary
 - if Huge and Overflow is unmasked, set Overflow
 - if Tiny and Underflow is unmasked, set Underflow
 - if d_x or d_y is a NaN then clear Denormal
- report exceptional conditions
 - if d_x and d_y are NaNs then special NaN propagation needed
 - if flag is set for an unmasked exception, indicate “Exception”

How to choose the constants c1 and c2:

- exponent all ones
or exponent all zero's
 - $c1 = 1.0$ $c2 = 1.0$
- $Emin_d \leq \text{exponent}$
or $\text{exponent} \leq Emax_d$
 - $c1 = 1.0$ $c2 = 1.0$
- $\text{exponent} > Emax_d$
and Overflow masked
 - $c1 = 2.0^{(Emax_{de} - Emax_d)}$
 - $c2 = 0.5^{(Emax_{de} - Emax_d)}$
- $\text{exponent} > Emax_d$
and Overflow unmasked
 - $c1 = 1.0$
 - $c2 = 0.5^{((Emax_d+1)^{3/2})}$
- $\text{exponent} < Emin_d$
and Underflow masked
 - $c1 = 0.5^{(Emax_{de} - Emax_d)}$
 - $c2 = 2.0^{(Emax_{de} - Emax_d)}$
- $\text{exponent} < Emin_d$
and Underflow Unmasked
 - $c1 = 1.0$
 - $c2 = 2.0^{((Emax_d+1)^{3/2})}$